



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/621,390		07/18/2003	Hyun-Doo Shin	Q76541	2463
23373	7590	12/01/2004		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUI	E MION,	PLLC	PARSONS, CHARLES E		
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	WASHINGTON, DC 20037			2613	-
				DATE MAILED: 12/01/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/621,390	SHIN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Charles E Parsons	2613				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim Iy within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ince except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application	4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) <u>21</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	-, ,	, ,				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E.	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		o-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority document						
 Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Burea 		ed in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.				
	. I. S.					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ∭ Interview Summar∨	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)	ate ratent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/621,390

Art Unit: 2613

DETAILED ACTION

After mailing a restriction requirement, it was brought to the Examiners attention that a preliminary amendment was submitted canceling all but claim 21. Therefore a response to the restriction requirement is not necessary.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claim 21 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murata.
 - 21. A digital video processing method comprising the step of defining the distribution of blocks whose motion compensation values are zero as motion activity, with respect to inter frames. (See Murata column 2 line 53 through column 3 line 14)

 At the time the invention was made, it was well known to those of ordinary skill in the art that there exists two types of motion compensation blocks within a frame, those with motion and those without. Furthermore each frame has a total number of frames.

 Therefore if the number of motion frames is known and a threshold is set to determine if there is a large amount of motion within the frame by comparing the number of motion blocks, the corollary is also true. If one were to use the motion compensation blocks with no motion to determine motion activity by determining if the number of zero motion blocks falls below a threshold the end result would be the same. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, to define a distribution of blocks whose motion compensation values are zero as motion activity, motivated by the knowledge that either method could be used because the outcome would be the same. Furthermore since the

Application/Control Number: 10/621,390

Art Unit: 2613

specification does not disclose that using the no motion blocks as opposed to the motion blocks has any particular advantage, or provides for an unexpected result, the use of them is considered a matter of design choice.)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles E Parsons whose telephone number is 703-305-3862. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH 7AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Kelley can be reached on 703-305-4856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CEP

CHRIS KELLEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600